



[www.sjra.net](http://www.sjra.net)

## ADDENDUM NO. 1

Date: October 9, 2019

To: All Interested Parties

From: Elton Brock, Purchasing Manager

Re: **RFP No. 19-0050 Rehabilitation of Bear Branch Gravity Main**

The following additions, deletions, changes or clarifications to RFP No. 19-0050 are hereby issued as a part of the originally issued documents for the above referenced project as fully and as completely as though the same were included therein.

Question received:

1. You stated at the Pre-Proposal meeting that the time and place of the bid was intolerant regarding the delivery of proposer's submittal. If the Bid acceptance is firm and won't allow a proposal to be "even one minute late", then was the Mandatory Pre-Proposal meeting under the same constraints? I refer to two persons that came in to the meeting after it was in progress. They were allowed to sign the sign-in sheet but did not hear all that was said to the rest of attendees. Assuming that they are potential proposers, will they be allowed to submit?

**Answer:**

Thank you for your question regarding the bidding process. The solicitation document specifically addresses late submissions, and the solicitation document does not specifically address a respondent that may be late to the pre-proposal meeting. The document only requires their "attendance" in order to meet the minimal qualifications.

To clarify: (1) any respondent that submits their response after the due date and time will not be accepted by SJRA. Any respondent that attends the pre-solicitation meeting, whether late to that meeting or not, will meet the minimum requirements to submit an offer.

Question received:

2. Can the flow rates be clarified? We are unclear of the meaning of the terms used on the document 33 32 00.01-4. Specifically, “Free Outfall, no lift station” and “Submerged Outfall, w/lift station”?

**Answer:**

The scenario called “Free Outfall (no lift station)” was assumed that there was no restriction downstream at the discharge point (ie no lift station) and that any flows that entered the system would not be influenced from outfall conditions and would discharge by gravity into the Lift Station Wet Well at the plant.

The scenario called “Submerged Outfall (w/lift station)” considered the lift station present and in operation based on pump data. This scenario shows that the downstream sections of the system actually stores wastewater in the pipes and manholes.

As stated in the Contract Documents, The flow data presented was not field measured/verified, but was generated in a wastewater system model using population data, land use, and unit wastewater usage parameters. Wet weather flows were developed in the wastewater system model using a 5-year, 24-hour design storm. Therefore the flows presented are for reference only and could differ from actual field conditions. Contractor shall be responsible for providing a diversion pumping system to handle all daily dry and wet weather flows including peak flows for all rain events during construction.

Question received:

3. There is an apparent Force Main discharging into manhole S-5 near the upstream of the project not shown on plans. Can details be provided? Flows, point of origin, etc. The plans call for this manhole to be abandoned. Will the FM be relocated to the new manhole?

**Answer:**

SJRA records do NOT show a force main discharging Manhole S-5 on Sheet 28 of the plans. However, Sheet 29 of the plans shows a 16-inch force main discharging into Manhole S-1, which originates at SJRA Lift Station No. 24B. Please refer to Specification Section 33 32 00.01, Part 1.2 G. (page 2) for information for Lift Station 24.

Question received:

4. There is an additional line entering at manhole A-9 not shown on plans. Can you provide details?

**Answer:**

There is an existing 8-inch sanitary sewer line that gravity flows into Manhole A-9 from the North, that is not shown on the plan drawings (sheet 17 of 38). Offerors are made aware that there is an additional existing 10” sanitary sewer line that gravity flows into MH A-6 (sheet 15 of 38) from the South, that is not shown on the plan drawings. Offerors are made aware that no additional payment for diversion pumping will be made for maintaining flow in these additional lines, and therefore Offerors must include cost in unit price for diversion pumping for the additional

**lines not shown on the plans or any other additional lines encountered in the field. Offerors are made aware that pipe sizes shown on the plans have not been field verified, and that Offerors will be responsible for field verifying all pipe sizes to facilitate proper setup of diversion pumping system.**

Question received:

5. There is a line entering manhole A-1 from the North. It appears to be 30-36" and is not shown on the plans. It is visible in the evaluation video at ARKK Engineers. Please provide details.

**Answer:**

**There is a plugged 30-inch line entering manhole A-1 that does not carry wastewater flow.**

Question received:

6. Can the bypass pumping system be discharged into the headworks at the plant?

**Answer:**

**For the diversion pumping system routed along the south of the Bear Branch Sports fields to Wastewater Treatment Facility No. 2, the diversion pumping system will need to discharge flow directly to the existing Lift Station Wet Well at the plant. Discharge to the plant headworks or any other treatment process or basin will not be allowed for this diversion pumping system.**

Question received:

7. For cementitious coatings on this project, will alternatives to SewperCoat be considered?

**Answer:**

**Alternatives to SewperCoat will not be considered for this project.**

Question received:

8. Will "SuperCover" composite sanitary sewer manhole frames and covers be allowed on this project?

**Answer:**

**"SuperCover" composite sanitary sewer manhole frames and covers will not be allowed on this project, as they include metallic components that do not meet the American Iron and Steel requirements.**

Question received:

9. Section 00 21 13.02-11.3 indicates the SOQ tables will be made available in Microsoft Word. Please provide the Word versions of these documents.

**Answer:**

**The requested tables are included as part of this addendum.**

Question received:

10. The items for Mobilization and Clearing and Grubbing are limited to a percentage of the Total Bid. Please define the basis of the Total Bid for this purpose – is it the total of the Base Items only, or is it the Total Proposal Price including the totals for items A, B, & C?.

**Answer:**

**The Total Proposal Price (totals of Items A, B, and C of the Proposal Form) must be used to determine the Not to Exceed percentage pay items.**

Question received:

11. Will the Owner/Engineer please provide any previous itemized bid tabulations for projects of similar scope?

**Answer:**

**Bid Tabulations for similar projects is public information that can be obtained from different planrooms. Bid tabulation for similar projects is not available from Owner/Engineer.**

Question received:

12. Will the Owner/Engineer please provide a copy of the current plan holders list?

**Answer:**

**There is no plan holders list, as the documents are on a website, with full access to any potential respondent, or other interested party. Please refer to the pre-solicitation meeting list for any respondent that intends to submit a response to this marketplace opportunity.**

Question received:

13. Will the Owner/Engineer please confirm if there are any prevailing wage requirements for this job?

**Answer:**

**Offerors must refer to Specifications and Contract Documents for all wage requirements.**

Question received:

14. Will the Owner/Engineer please clarify whether flood plain water levels need to be considered for CIPP calculation thicknesses?

**Answer:**

**Offerors must refer to Specification 33 01 30.13 Cured-in-Place Pipe for table with minimum CIPP thickness for each segment.**

Question received:

15. Will the Owner/Engineer please clarify how the ALB will be determined (for ranking of proposed project cost)? Is it total of base items only or total of base items + extra unit price items?

**Answer:**

**Total Proposal Price to be used for ranking proposals will include totals of Items A, B, and C of the Proposal Form.**

Question received:

16. Will the Owner/Engineer please clarify whether fiber glass liner can be utilized for this project?

**Answer:**

**Fiber glass liner will not be allowed for this project. Please refer to Specification 33 01 30.13 Cured-in-Place Pipe for approved materials.**

Question received:

17. Will the Owner/Engineer please clarify whether equivalent products can be used for manhole rehabilitation works?

**Answer:**

**No equivalent products will be allowed for Manhole rehabilitation. The proposal documents are clear on products that are considered acceptable for manhole rehabilitation.**

Question received:

18. Will the Owner/Engineer please clarify whether access roads made of crushed stone is allowed to be retained or needs to be removed and restored?

**Answer:**

**Site access to facilitate the rehabilitation work includes removal of temporary facilities, per Base Item No. 3 of the Proposal Form.**

**All temporary access road materials used for construction must be removed and disposed off unless requested by SJRA staff to be left in place, and areas must be restored to equal or better after all materials have been removed. Restoration must include sod, hydromulch, or seeding as necessary.**

Question received:

19. Will the Owner/Engineer please clarify the minimum thicknesses for fiberglass liner (if allowed)?

**Answer:**

**See response to Question #16 above. Fiber glass liner will not be allowed for this project.**

Conclusion:

Provisions not amended or supplemented remain in full force and effect.

Please acknowledge receipt of this addendum with signature and date and return with completed Proposal. Failure to do so may cause your Proposal to be considered non-responsive.

***I acknowledge Receipt of Addendum No. 1***

---

***Authorized Signature***

---

***Date***

---

***Company Name***