

# Ready to Launch! FM 830 Boat Ramp Project on Lake Conroe

The Authority, in association with Montgomery County, was awarded a \$500,000 U.S. Fish and Wildlife grant through the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department State Boating Access Program in July, 2014. The purpose of this grant is to enhance an existing boat ramp located at the terminal end of FM-830 (Seven Coves Road) on Lake Conroe near Willis, Texas and will consist of improvements to increase safety, convenience, security, and accessibility at the existing facility. The facilities are currently operated and maintained by

Montgomery County Precinct 1 (MCP1) while the roadway itself is owned and maintained by the Texas Department of Transportation (TXDOT). The project will require the cooperation of all three entities: SJRA, TXDOT, and MCP1.

The project includes additional parking spaces for vehicles both with and without trailers, as well as accessibility features for those with disabilities. A long-term master plan for the desired improvements was developed for the project, including fencing, landscaping,



outdoor lighting, signage, trails, small buildings for law enforcement, restroom facilities, and other outdoor improvements to enhance the public's use of this boat ramp facility in the future.

Before formal design services could be initiated, many preliminary tasks had to be completed. The first requirement was a determination by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), under section 404 of the Clean Water Act, as to whether this project is subject to their jurisdiction as related to dredged and/or fill materials in waters of the U.S. After a long wait, SJRA received notice on November 15, 2016, that this project does not trigger USACE jurisdiction. Additionally, the project requires TXDOT to transfer ownership and maintenance responsibilities for a small portion of FM-830 to Montgomery County. On January 10, 2017, the Montgomery County Commissioners Court approved a resolution requesting TXDOT to remove a portion of FM-830 from the State Highway System and grant permission to Montgomery County to operate this area as a non-tolled, non-fee public area.

As a result of these two milestones, the Authority is now initiating preliminary surveying and design activities and developing necessary legal agreements for construction, operations, and maintenance activities with various entities including TXDOT, Far Hills MUD, Entergy, and neighboring property owners. Final design services for the improvements are expected to begin this fall.

## Piezometers Testing for Lake Conroe Dam Safety Monitoring Program

A piezometer is a monitoring well that is installed to monitor groundwater pore pressures as indicated by water level elevations in the well. There are 38 such instruments in service at the Lake Conroe Dam. Those wells are drilled to various depths at carefully chosen locations throughout the area in and around the earthen embankment. Readings are taken regularly and the data is analyzed to ensure that groundwater pressures beneath the dam remain at appropriately low levels.

There is also a certain amount of maintenance that must be completed to ensure the piezometers stay in working order. Yearly "sounding" is conducted to ensure that the piezometers are not plugged at any point throughout the well. A sounding test is conducted by measuring the full depth of the well to verify that it is still functional throughout the entire well column. If



Above: Lake Conroe Division Staff, Shane Simpson and David Sidney perform time lag testing of piezometer on the embankment of the Lake Conroe Dam.

not, then the piezometer must be flushed to evacuate any material causing blockage. In addition, every 5 years piezometers must be "time lag" tested. This test is conducted by filling the piezometer with water to the top of the well point and measuring its descent in 30 second increments until the water level has returned to its original depth. The purpose of this test is to ensure that the groundwater movement beneath the dam is consistent and there are no blockages within the system. If the piezometer responds correctly then the water will fall at a steady rate back to its original depth. If the piezometer does not respond and the water is stagnated then further investigation is necessary. Recent time lag test results indicate that all Lake Conroe Dam piezometers are responding properly with a steady descent back to their original levels.

### Access and Security Improvements at Highlands Division

The Highlands Raw Water System consists of three pump stations, approximately twentyseven miles of canals and levees, and approximately fifty siphons and crossings, all located along a narrow easement primarily crossing through private property with many sections in remote and undeveloped areas. The Highlands Division must conveniently access the entire system to perform necessary maintenance and to conduct operational activities.

The SJRA recently evaluated the existing level of access and security for the entire system, including the overall condition of the fencing, gates, access roadways, and structures. for improvements Recommendations are proposed for access roadways, placement of guardrails for safety, and for additional culverts, and fencing. Recommended gates, improvements also include the trimming and clearing of trees and brush, and the removal of other canal intrusions that negatively impact canal operations and maintenance. A new project has been included in the Highlands Division 2018-2027 Project Plan for the proposed improvements.

As part of this program, the SJRA developed new signage to provide guidance to the public on the recently adopted Rules and Regulations which went into effect on July 1, 2016. Large 4' x 6' signs have been placed around the Highlands Reservoir at the six public access entry areas and over 100 -  $18'' \times 22''$  smaller signs will be placed on gates and fencing along the canals



Above: Highlands Division staff fabricated and placed gates and fencing on the Highlands Raw Water System.

to inform the public of prohibited activities. Harris County Constable Precinct 3 has been engaged to support the SJRA with additional enforcement activities related to unauthorized entry, vandalism, and illegal dumping.

These access and security improvements will help to ensure that SJRA can operate and maintain the Highlands Raw Water System in an efficient manner and will raise the public's awareness for safely accessing the designated public areas.



Above: Highlands Division staff replace a culvert and install a sluice gate on the middle levee of the North Highlands Reservoir.

### Clean Rivers Sampling Program for the Raw Water Enterprise

The Texas Clean Rivers Program is a nonregulatory, voluntary program designed to provide a framework for managing Texas surface-water quality issues in a holistic manner. Funded by the State of Texas, the focus of the program is to work at the watershed level, within each river basin, by coordinating the efforts of many diverse organizations.

The San Jacinto River Authority participates in and contributes to the program by conducting field measurements and sampling Lake Conroe at ten strategic sites on a monthly basis. Collected water samples are delivered to and analyzed by the City of Houston Water Quality Laboratory. The program is managed regionally by the Houston Galveston Area Council which collects all data for the program and disseminates the information through its website at <u>www.h-gac.com</u>.

The Authority's sampling sites are all located throughout the main body of Lake Conroe and were chosen in order to capture water quality



data from all tributaries as well as sites in the center of the lake. These locations allow for adequate mixing of all inflow prior to sampling. Data gathered from each sampling event is compared to Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) water quality standards and screening levels to determine if any exceedances have occurred.

Results from December, January, and February indicate that all samples were acceptable and below TCEQ standards and screening level with the exception of E-coli bacteria in the month of December, and Nitrate (NO3\_N) and Total Phosphorus (T-PO4\_P) results at several sites. The standard for E-coli bacteria is 126 MPN/100 mL and the screening levels for Nitrate and Total Phosphorus are 0.37 mg/L and 0.20 mg/L respectively.

These somewhat elevated levels of E-coli were due to the high amounts of rainfall that occurred in the previous five days prior to the December sampling event. When large amounts of rainfall occur on the watershed, sheetflow over a variety of land surfaces collect many different types of pollutants which are transported into the lake. In the Lake Conroe watershed, elevated phosphorus and E-coli levels are often detected immediately following significant rainfall events. Fortunately, Lake Conroe typically takes only approximately one week after a rainfall event to return to normal water quality levels.

	Lake Conroe -December 2016																
						Lab					Field						
Date	Site	Alk mg/L	Chloride mg/L	E Coli MPN/ 100mL	NH3_N mg/L	NO3_N mg/L	S_ Depth M	SO4 mg/L	TSS mg/L	T- PO4_P mg/L	DO	Spec/ Cond	Temp	рН			
12/07/16	1	24	7	>2449.6	<0.10	0.16	0.10	5	32	0.28	7.72	83.5	12.4	6.57			
12/07/16	2	57	15	159.7	<0.10	0.12	0.20	6	11	0.09	8.57	177.8	14.87	7.34			
12/07/16	3	60	15	62.7	<0.10	0.22	1.10	<5.0	6	0.08	9.25	181.6	15.92	7.61			
12/07/16	4	60	15	5.2	<0.10	0.22	0.80	<5.0	5	0.06	8.43	182.3	16.12	7.4			
12/07/16	5	60	14	11.0	<0.10	0.31	0.80	<5.0	5	0.06	7.83	184.6	16.61	7.34			
12/07/16	6	60	15	20.9	<0.10	0.31	1.10	<5.0	4	0.07	8.47	184.4	16.48	7.42			
12/07/16	7	60	15	13.2	<0.10	0.39	1.20	<5.0	4	0.08	8.47	186.2	16.77	7.45			
12/07/16	8	62	14	27.9	<0.10	0.41	NA	<5.0	5	0.07	7.68	188.2	17.06	7.31			
12/07/16	9	60	15	22.8	<0.10	0.41	1.10	<5.0	4	0.07	7.81	187.4	16.75	7.27			
12/07/16	10	61	15	23.5	<0.10	0.43	1.10	<5.0	4	0.07	8.4	188.3	16.9	7.34			

>TCEQ Standards

>TCEQ Screen Levels

## FY 2017 Raw Water Diversions under TCEQ Permits by Authority

In Texas, surface water is the property of the State. As such, anyone who wishes to impound or divert surface waters such as is done in Lake Conroe, must obtain a permit from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). The San Jacinto River Authority (SJRA) has acquired a portfolio of seven TCEQ permits (also called Water Rights). Five of these permits are from San Jacinto River supplies while two are from the Trinity River System. The Lake Conroe permits allow SJRA and the City of Houston to impound and divert up to 100,000 acre-feet/year for Lake Conroe. Additional permits are held by SJRA for Lake Houston which allow SJRA to divert up to approximately 169,000 acre feet/year. Finally, SJRA holds permits from the Trinity River to divert up to 86,000 acre feet/year, which can be used in the San Jacinto basin by the Highlands Division. The table below shows the actual diversions from the SJRA permits this Fiscal Year for industrial, municipal, and irrigation uses.

The total diversions for the first six months of this year exceeded 15 Billion Gallons, which is on track to be one of the largest annual diversions in the Authority's recent history. The Authority's previous maximum annual diversion occurred in 2011 and was approximately 25 Billion Gallons for the entire calendar year. This most-recent record diversion has occurred even though the rainfall totals have exceeded 26 inches at Lake Conroe during this 6-month period and can be attributed to the new GRP demand and increased industrial demand in the Highlands.

Because we use "take-or-pay" contract terms for most customers, Raw Water Enterprise revenues remain fairly close to expected amounts, but overall revenue for the Woodlands and GRP Divisions could be lower than expected unless this trend of wet-weather changes.

Repor	Reported Raw Water Diversions by Category (Fiscal YTD)														
	Industrial	Municipal	Irrigation	Totals											
Month		Million	Gallons												
Sep-16	1,924	725	47	2,695											
Oct-16	2,026	711	88	2,825											
Nov-16	1,923	573	19	2,514											
Dec-16	2,004	446	11	2,462											
Jan-17	2,001	441	8	2,451											
Feb-17	1,795	452	10	2,258											
Total	11,673	3,348	182	15,204											

## Raw Water Enterprise Next Quarter Calendar

#### March 2017

6 <sup>th</sup> 9 <sup>th</sup> 21 <sup>st</sup> 23 <sup>rd</sup>	Houston Galveston Area Council – Bacteria Implementation Group (BIG) Annual Meeting West Fork Watershed Partnership – Public Meeting for Watershed Protection Plan Leadership SJRA with Accounting, Administrative Services and IT Departments San Jacinto River Authority Board of Directors Meeting
31 <sup>st</sup>	Lake Conroe Summit
April 2017	
3 <sup>rd</sup>	Texas Forest and Drinking Water Partnership Meeting
21 <sup>st</sup>	Sam Houston University Tour
25 <sup>th</sup>	Leadership SJRA with Purchasing, Public Relations, and Safety Departments
27 <sup>th</sup>	San Jacinto River Authority Board of Directors Meeting
May 2017	
11 <sup>th</sup>	Raw Water Master Plan Staff Workshop
23 <sup>rd</sup>	Leadership SJRA Wrap up and Graduation
25 <sup>th</sup>	San Jacinto River Authority Board of Directors Meeting

# **Employee Service Recognition**

No Service Awards this Quarter

## Raw Water Usage Data



## Lake Level Data





\*\*Lake Level Data: USGS Gauge at Dam, Rainfall & Temperature: SJRA Gauge at Dam, Evaporation & Discharge: Internal Calculation

# Lake Conroe and Highlands Division Safety Tailgates

#### Highland Division Tailgates

- Lockout Tag-out Jarred Thomas
- Heavy Equipment Safety *Charlie McNair*
- House Keeping Daryl Davis
- Hearing Protection Bryan Kilgore
- Short Cuts Cut Life Short *Lynzey Jett*
- 10 Commandments of Tractor Safety *Travis Nibert*
- Pipeline Public Awareness Training *Kim Wright, Jarred Thomas, Daryl Davis, Travis Nibert, Bryan Kilgore, and Charlie McNair*

### HR/Safety Coordinated Training Activities

- Incident Reporting/SPCC
- Slips, Trips and Falls

#### Lake Conroe Division Tailgates

- Hot Work David Sidney
- Spill Proof Petroleum Handling *Michael Biehle*



Above: Lake Conroe Division staff discuss spill-proof fuel storage and handling.

*Right: Lake Conroe Division Staff review spill-proof fuel storage and handling.* 

# Raw Water Enterprise Second Quarter Financials

#### San Jacinto River Authority Unaudited Statement of Revenues and Expenses - Raw Wate For the Quarter Ending February 28, 2017

	Dec			Jan			Feb					Fiscal Year	Fiscal Budget					
	Actua		ctual Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual	Budget	Variance	% Variance		Total Year Budget	Actual YTD % of Total Year Budget	
OPERATING REVENUES Industrial/Municipal Irrigation Reservation fees TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	\$ \$	1,278,817 \$ 12,491 24,499 <b>1,315,807 \$</b>	1,169,210 342 38,486 <b>1,208,038</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	1,326,093 \$ 8,630 29,384 <b>1,364,107 \$</b>	1,281,696 624 43,723 <b>1,326,043</b>	\$	1,229,983 \$ 2,036 30,003 <b>1,262,022 \$</b>	1,273,205 590 37,128 <b>1,310,923</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	7,802,457 \$ 57,666 109,886 <b>7,970,009 \$</b>	7,473,748 5 22,869 168,912 <b>7,665,529 5</b>	328,710 34,796 (59,027) <b>304,479</b>	4% 152% (35%) <b>4%</b>	\$	15,923,593 133,300 237,277 <b>16,294,170</b>	49% 43% 46% <b>49%</b>	
OPERATING EXPENSES Payroll & employee benefit expenses Professional fees General & administration TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ <b>\$</b>	7,658 \$ 40,516 1,227 <b>49,401 \$</b>	29,511 37,500 - <b>67,011</b>	\$ \$	14,568 \$ 20,746 2,414 <b>37,728 \$</b>	21,439 37,500 - <b>58,939</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	15,502 \$ 26,279 2,580 <b>44,361 \$</b>	21,439 37,500 - <b>58,939</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	49,842 \$ 129,243 14,878 <b>193,963 \$</b>	136,704 \$ 225,750 - <b>362,454 \$</b>	86,862 96,507 (14,878) <b>168,492</b>	(64%) (43%) 0% <b>(46%)</b>	\$	273,409 455,600 - <b>729,009</b>	(18%) (28%) 0% <b>(27%)</b>	
NON-OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENSES Interest expense TOTAL NON-OPERATING (EXCLUDING ITEMS NOT BUDGETED)	\$ \$	(35,469) \$ ( <b>35,469) \$</b>	(35,469) <b>(35,469)</b>	\$ \$	(35,469) \$ <b>(35,469) \$</b>	(35,469) <b>(35,469)</b>	\$ \$	(35,469) \$ <b>(35,469) \$</b>	(35,469) <b>(35,469)</b>	\$ \$	(216,463) \$ (216,463) \$	(216,463) ( (216,463) (216,463)		0% <b>0</b> %	\$ \$	(429,275) ( <b>429,275)</b>	50% <b>50</b> %	
NET INCOME (LOSS) (EXCLUDING ITEMS NOT BUDGETED)	\$	1,230,938 \$	1,105,558	\$	1,290,910 \$	1,231,636	\$	1,182,191 \$	1,216,516	\$	7,559,584 \$	7,086,613	6 472,971	7%	\$	15,135,887	<b>50</b> %	
NON-OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENSES (NOT BUDGETED) Amortized debt issuance expense TOTAL NON-OPERATING (NOT BUDGETED) NET INCOME (LOSS) (BUDGETED AND NOT BUDGETED)	\$ \$	2,719 \$ 2,719 \$ 1,233,656 \$	- - 1,105,558	\$ \$	2,719 \$ 2,719 \$ 1,293,628 \$	- 1,231,636	\$ \$	2,719 \$ 2,719 \$ 1,184,910 \$	- - 1,216,516	\$ \$	16,312 \$ 16,312 \$ 7,575,895 \$	- :	5 16,312 5 16,312 5 489,283	0% 0% 7%	\$ \$	- - 15,135,887	0% 0% 50%	

# Highlands Division Second Quarter Financials

#### San Jacinto River Authority Unaudited Statement of Revenues and Expenses - Highlands For the Quarter Ending February 28, 2017

	Dec				Jan			Feb				Fiscal Year	Fiscal Budget				
	Actual B		Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual	Budget	Variance	% Variance		otal Year Budget	Actual YTD % of Total Year Budget
OPERATING REVENUES Rental revenue TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	\$ \$	- \$ - \$	-	\$ \$	- \$ - \$	-	\$ \$	- \$ - \$	-	\$ \$	- \$ - \$	- 3 - \$	; <u>-</u>	0% <b>0</b> %	\$ \$	6,355 <b>6,355</b>	0% <b>0</b> %
OPERATING EXPENSES Payroll & employee benefit expenses Professional fees Purchased & contracted services Supplies, materials & utilities Maintenance repairs, parts & rentals General & administration TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	277,572 \$ 6,023 16,715 23,984 41,449 33,976 <b>399,719 \$</b>	306,449 3,342 31,049 33,259 47,004 61,188 <b>482,291</b>	\$	215,642 \$ - 22,437 23,057 11,631 29,128 <b>301,895 \$</b>	220,576 4,142 31,792 35,478 47,004 52,977 <b>391,969</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	214,744 \$ 10,805 14,297 19,000 21,871 30,457 <b>311,174 \$</b>	220,576 1,642 32,877 35,380 47,004 52,825 <b>390,305</b>	\$	1,256,906 \$ 27,084 71,990 123,167 178,294 180,981 <b>1,838,421 \$</b>	1,409,331 3 18,852 169,606 205,702 289,693 324,140 <b>2,417,325 3</b>	(8,232) 97,616 82,535 111,399 143,159	(11%) 44% (58%) (40%) (38%) (44%) <b>(24%)</b>	\$	2,847,663 33,200 378,352 423,140 571,720 654,693 <b>4,908,768</b>	(44%) (82%) (19%) (29%) (31%) (28%) <b>(37%)</b>
NON-OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENSES Interest on investments Interest expense Capital contributions TOTAL NON-OPERATING (EXCLUDING ITEMS NOT BUDGETED)	\$ \$	14,703 \$ (190,774) - <b>(176,071) \$</b>	875 (190,774) - <b>(189,899)</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	18,191 \$ (190,774) - <b>(172,584) \$</b>	875 (190,774) - <b>(189,899)</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	13,595 \$ (190,774) - <b>(177,180) \$</b>	875 (190,774) - <b>(189,899)</b>	\$ \$	77,062 \$ (1,148,211) - <b>(1,071,149) \$</b>	5,250 3 (1,148,211) 3,080,240 <b>1,937,279 \$</b>	71,812 - (3,080,240) ( <b>3,008,428)</b>	1368% 0% (100%) <b>(155%)</b>	\$	10,500 (2,292,857) 3,080,240 <b>797,883</b>	734% 50% 0% ( <b>134%)</b>
NET INCOME (LOSS) (EXCLUDING ITEMS NOT BUDGETED) NON-OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENSES (NOT BUDGETED) Depreciation Amortized debt issuance expense TOTAL NON-OPERATING (NOT BUDGETED)	\$	(575,790) \$ (106,268) \$ 1,261 (105,007) \$	(672,191) - - -	\$ \$	(474,478) \$ (419,499) \$ 1,261 (418,237) \$	(581,868) - - -	\$ \$ \$	(488,354) \$ (146,705) \$ 1,261 (145,444) \$	(580,205) - - -	\$ \$ \$	(2,909,570) \$ (1,043,217) \$ 7,567 (1,035,650) \$	(480,046) \$ - \$ - -	(1,043,217) 7,567	506% 0% 0%	\$ \$ \$	(4,104,530) - - -	71% 0% 0% 0%
NET INCOME (LOSS) (BUDGETED AND NOT BUDGETED)	\$	(680,796) \$	(672,191)	\$	(892,716) \$	(581,868)	\$	(633,797) \$	(580,205)	\$	(3,945,220) \$	(480,046) \$	; (3,465,174)	722%	\$	(4,104,530)	96%

#### San Jacinto River Authority Unaudited Statement of Revenues and Expenses - Lake Conroe For the Quarter Ending February 28, 2017

	Dec			Jan				Feb			Fiscal Ye		Fiscal Budget					
	Actual Budget		Actual Budge		Budget		Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Varian	ice	% Variance	-	otal Year Budget	Actual YTD % of Total Year Budget		
OPERATING REVENUES																		
Permits, licenses & fees	\$	96,603	\$	91, <b>004</b>	\$	58,966	\$ 70,471	\$	102,532 \$	79,006	\$ 494,738 \$	449,155	\$ 4	45,583	10%	\$	1,042,000	47%
Cost sharing revenue		251,573		264,178		67,626	225,207		65,38 <b>0</b>	219,196	1,107,840	1,416,200	(30	08,360)	(22%)		2,746,478	40%
Grant revenue		-		8,333		140,000	8,333		90,000	8,333	 230,000	50,000	18	30,000	360%		100,000	230%
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	\$	348,176	\$	363,515	\$	266,592	\$ 304,011	\$	257,911 \$	306,536	\$ 1,832,579 \$	1,915,356	\$ (8	82,777)	(4%)	\$	3,888,478	47%
OPERATING EXPENSES																		
Payroll & employee benefit expenses	\$	239,629	\$	254,279	\$	174,875	\$ 184,425	\$	177,036 \$	184,425	\$ 1,078,239 \$	1,176,403	\$ 9	98,164	(8%)	\$	2,406,805	(45%)
Professional fees		13,452		34,105		6,216	34,211		19,554	33,875	56,406	204,265	14	17,859	(72%)		407,850	(14%)
Purchased & contracted services		27,627		29,375		29,769	29,597		27,505	29,375	164,202	176,696		12,494	(7%)		353,380	(46%)
Supplies, materials & utilities		18,844		26,023		17,109	26,607		17,236	26,747	107,953	159,558	Ę	51,605	(32%)		318,663	(34%)
Maintenance repairs, parts & rentals		108,871		64,803		44,293	64,803		15,309	64,803	642,947	428,816	(21	14,132)	50%		817,635	(79%)
General & administration		62,792		88,633		39,583	78,330		38,571	78,268	315,054	486,125	17	71,071	(35%)		974,181	(32%)
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	471,215	\$	497,218	\$	311,844	\$ 417,973	\$	295,211 \$	417,493	\$ 2,364,800 \$	2,631,862	\$ 26	67,061	(10%)	\$	5,278,514	(45%)
NON-OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENSES																		
Interest on investments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3 \$	6 -	\$	13 \$	-	\$ 15 \$	-	\$	15	0%	\$	-	0%
Other revenues		1,750		-		-	-		2,217	-	6,458	-		6,458	0%		-	0%
TOTAL NON-OPERATING (EXCLUDING ITEMS NOT BUDGETED)	\$	1,750	\$	-	\$	3 \$	<b>;</b> -	\$	2,230 \$	•	\$ 6,473 \$	-	\$	6,473	0%	\$	-	0%
NET INCOME (LOSS) (EXCLUDING ITEMS NOT BUDGETED)	\$	(121,289)	\$	(133,702)	\$	(45,250)	\$ (113,962)	\$	(35,069) \$	(110,957)	\$ (525,749) \$	(716,506)	\$ 19	90,758	(27%)	\$	(1,390,035)	38%_
NON-OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENSES (NOT BUDGETED)																		
Depreciation	\$	(13,060)		-	\$	(14,407) 💲		\$	(14,540) \$	-	\$ (88,748) \$	-		38,748)	0%	\$	-	0%
TOTAL NON-OPERATING (NOT BUDGETED)	\$	(13,060)	\$	-	\$	(14,407) \$	; .	\$	(14,540) \$	-	\$ (88,748) \$	-	\$ (8	8,748)	0%	\$	•	0%
NET INCOME (LOSS) (BUDGETED AND NOT BUDGETED)	\$	(1 34,349)	\$	(133,702)	\$	(59,657)	\$ (113,962)	\$	(49,610) \$	(110,957)	\$ (614,497) \$	(716,506)	\$ 10	2,009	(14%)	\$	(1,390,035)	44%